

AVIATION

OCTOBER 29, 1923

Issued Weekly

PRICE 10 CENTS



Cox-Klemin submarine plane (top) and Glenn Martin observation plane flying over the War College

VOLUME
XV

SPECIAL FEATURES

NUMBER
18

NEW ARCTIC FLYING EXPEDITION
GLIDING THEORY AND GLIDER OPERATION
AEROMARINE METAL HULL FLYING BOAT

THE GARDNER, MOFFAT CO., Inc.
HIGHLAND, N. Y.
225 FOURTH AVENUE, NEW YORK

Entered as Second-Class Matter, Nov. 22, 1920, at the Post Office at Highland, N. Y.
under Act of March 3, 1879.

LIGHTNESS

THE weight per H.P. of the Wright T-3 engine is better than guaranteed for any other water cooled engine being built. This low weight to power ratio improves speed, climb, ceiling and maneuverability. Greater useful load can be carried for a given wing loading.

Thus this Corporation continues to lead the way in refinements of correct aeronautical engineering practice that have most to do with progress in the aeronautical field.

WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL CORPORATION
Ft. Worth, Texas, U. S. A.



The recent introduction of "T" engines in our standard plans has increased the power by 150 H.P. or 37% over the original 400 H.P. engine. The total weight of the plane only increased 5%. The pounds per H.P. of the entire plane improved 30% with the "T" engine. A great increase in climb, take off, ceiling and high speed naturally followed.



RATINGS

T-3
400 H.P. take-off
400 H.P. cruise
Wright 4-cyl. 18"

T-4
400 H.P. take-off
400 H.P. cruise
Wright 4-cyl. 18"

WRIGHT MODELS T ENGINES



Skywriting is operated exclusively
in the United States by

THE SKYWRITING CORPORATION OF AMERICA

who have purchased all U. S. letters
patent and pending patent applica-
tions issued to, or owned, or filed by
Major J. C. Savage



The process of forming *Maria* or *Walters* signals in the air by means of smoke or other visible trails created from an aircraft and the apparatus used in connection therewith are covered by patents issued and pending in America and abroad. Vigorous action will be taken against infringers.

THE SKYWRITING CORPORATION OF AMERICA
30 East 42nd Street, New York
Phone 7, London 1000 Cable Street, N. Y.

Copyright 1932 by The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Inc.



Everything in rubber for the airplane
Ballons of every type and size

Dependable Airplane Equipment

GOODYEAR provides anything in rubber for the airplane. And everything that Goodyear makes for this exacting service is designed and manufactured with a complete understanding of the product's purpose and use.

The specifications for Goodyear Airplane Tires, for example, are engineered from the findings of carefully conducted experiments aground and thorough tests aloft. Designers, builders, and pilots are constantly consulted by the Goodyear Aeronautical Department in our search for the best ways to make the many kinds of rubber articles used by airplanes.

For lighter-than-air craft, and their equipment, Goodyear is known throughout the world as American headquarters. Balloons and bags of all sizes and types can be made and fitted here.

Goodyear Means Good Wear

GOODYEAR
AVIATION EQUIPMENT

The Publisher's News Letter

It has been gratifying to receive the many expressions of approval concerning the account of the race at St. Louis that appeared in AVIATION. With almost the same pressure of time that newspaper men put together, the details of such race were written and with the usual exception which governs the rule the speech was accurately printed.

AVIATION is always glad to receive cheerful news. It also accepts criticism in the same appreciative spirit.

* * * *

The St. Louis race has made one fact clear to the aeronautical publications. Our readers probably missed the fact when they read the item concerning accounts of the race. Compare the aeronautical papers with any other trade paper which appears after an event of great importance. Such items are filled with advertising of companies who have participated in the affair and capture the interest of their readers. Not so in the aviation field. The ease with which free publicity has been secured for so long has made possible advertisement feel that as they only have to tell to the government there is no advantage in using the trade press. This fallacy is easy to demonstrate but without the facts being made clear it may persist.

* * * *

Our readers include the more influential group in aviation. When they are told the story of a product in the news columns it is like an introduction to a new friend. It is a courtesy that gives every one pleasure. But when companies that should appropriate a portion of their selling expense for advertising their products supply only on the free publicity they can secure, the only trade paper rightly feels that its news columns are being used exclusively for publicity purposes.

* * * *

Take the situation concerning the aeronautical publications. They have seen such strong competitors as the Standard, Sturtevant, Hall-Scott, Callender and Dayton-Wright divisions of the General Motors enter from the aeronautical field

for various reasons. The Packard Company that had heavy orders during the war and has done much development work since, having recently completed the engines for the ZRI, is doing nothing to seek good will through the trade press, although its advertising of motor cars has continually widened the fact that it is in the aeronautical field. The Aeromarine Company stopped all advertising last fall, but it is hoped that after its reorganization is completed it will be represented as it always was in the past. The L.W.F. Company, although having secured large orders from the government, claims as a reason for not making publicity that its membership will not permit it. And so it goes with many others. Our readers can tell the companies that are doing everything possible to make the aeronautical industry a business rather than a subsidized government institution. If it were not for the broad-gauged spirit of a few of the aeronautical companies, there would be no aeronautical papers and no Aeronautical Chamber of Commerce. AVIATION has determined to place the subject of free publicity in its true light before its readers and seek for the forward looking manufacturer the good will to which he is entitled.

* * * *

Our readers may ask what is the use of advertising when the government is practically the only large customer. The answer is clear. A manufacturer of any commodity does not feel that he has completed his sale when he has unloaded his output on the wholesaler or jobber. He tells the user of his product about its advantages through advertising and seeks to secure his approval and create a demand which will bring orders. It is the same with government purchases. An ex mail pilot or a flying officer can do more to create a favorable or unfavorable impression of an aeronautical product than any other person. And yet some aeronautical manufacturers are content to consign the purchasing department and leave the good will to chance. It is the only business in which this is done generally and the state of the industry is a reflection of this policy. From time to time we intend to bring these publication details to the attention of our readers who have so loyally stood by us.

OCTOBER 29, 1923

AVIATION

VOL. XV. NO. 18

CONTENTS

1 month subscription price	543	Aeromarine Model AMC Commercial Flying Boat	558
1 year subscription price	544	Book Reviews	562
1 year subscription price	545	Airports and Airways	563
1 year subscription price	546	U. S. Army and Navy Air Forces	565

THE GARDNER, MOFFAT COMPANY, Inc., Publishers

225 FOURTH AVENUE, NEW YORK

HIGHLAND, N. Y.

Subscription price: Four dollars per year. Single copies 15c. Canada, five dollars. Foreign, six dollars a year. Copyright 1923, by the Gardner, Moffat Company, Inc.

Issued every Monday. Forms close ten days previously. Entered as second class matter Nov. 22, 1905, at the Post Office at Highland, N. Y., under act of March 3, 1879.

THOMAS-MORSE AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

CONTRACTORS TO U. S. GOVERNMENT

FTHACA.



NEW YORK



Trade Mark

MARTIN BOMBER WINS MERCHANTS EXCHANGE TROPHY AT ST. LOUIS

Lieut. H. L. George, Army Air Service, piloting one of the two Martin-built planes entered in the St. Louis events, was the winner of the Merchants Exchange race; Lieut W. S. Halleberg of the Navy flying the other Martin-built entry, finished third in a field of eight starters.

The significant fact in connection with the winner of this race is that it was accomplished by a plane five years old in

design -- remarkable testimony to the efficiency in engineering and construction.

At St. Louis, as at Detroit a year ago when the four Martin-built Bombers entered took the first four places in the large capacity class, these planes demonstrated the wisdom of painstaking care and foresight which is given to all Martin products. It is another proof that quality counts.

THE GLENN L. MARTIN COMPANY

Cleveland

Builders of Quality Aircraft since 1909

L. D. CORMICK
PRESIDENT
W. D. MOTT
VICE-PRESIDENT
L. D. SUTHER
TREASURER
GEO. T. WOOD
VICE-MANAGER

Vol. XV

AVIATION

OCTOBER 29, 1933

No 18

LEONARD A. DEBY
EDITOR
VIRGINIA E. CLARK
EDITORIAL
EDWARD P. WARDEN
EDITORIAL
RALPH H. WILSON
CORRESPONDENT EDITOR

Needed — An Air Defense Commission

THE following letter has been sent to President Coolidge by LEONARD A. DEBY.

"The tremendous condition of the United States from air attack is revealed merely from these facts: 1. Lack of any control of our aerial needs in your advisory code. 2. Lack of any consistent national aircraft policy. 3. The essential duplication of agencies of the Government charged with the development of aircraft. 4. The enormous appropriations, waste of great resources, and not the only matter needed to remedy our present deplorable state of aerial emergency.

"After many years of careful study of our aeronautical progress, the following suggestions are respectfully submitted, in the hope that after the hearings on congressional appropriations held our air services will not lapse into a state of neglect brought about by a lack of any consistent policy.

"The first and most urgent need of the country is to have some civilian official among the President's advisers who will consider the aerial arm of the country not primarily from the military or naval viewpoint but as the first line of defense of the country. This obviously cannot be done by the Secretaries of War or the Navy, for they have to rely for advice in matters with specialized training in the strict forms of warfare. There must also necessarily be some knowledge of the possibilities of aircraft that their suggestions are naturally dominated by departmental conservatism. In 1921, therefore, Deby was advised to avoid officers that there was evidence to fear that aerial tactics and his publicly offered the four Martin-built and let airplanes attack. In the War Department for the last few months the Air Service has been allowed in a degree ownership of a country of air operations and operations.

"In the deplorable situation cannot lead for a reorganization of departments, you could, Mr. President, immediately begin the work of the country from an aerial standpoint by organizing an Air Defense Commission composed of three or four public-spirited men who would make an investigation of the present deplorable condition and recommend directly to you what measures should be taken to make the United States more secure for attack. The proper speaking of large aircraft appropriations is an important in securing them from the Congress.

"The Commission could recommend methods for preventing wasteful expenditures of the air appropriations by Government departments, the unnecessary duplication of aircraft development work now going on in the services, it could learn what departmental competition and rivalry in design and production of aircraft in country, it could determine why the aircraft industry is a sacrifice of financial ingenuity, owing to the landward-minded policy now prevailing, and what is even the most important, it could be empowered to secure from flying officers (and representatives of opinion as to the need of aircraft) the most direct danger from attacks of foreign air forces on the safety of military and naval control.

"There is no time to be lost, for it takes several years to train our planes for aerial preparation. A national program should over a period of years prepared by unopposed and have included some in which the country has confidence in the most essential need of our nation's defense today. So that our country may have the best possible advice of the

Commissioner-in-Chief of our armed forces, it is hoped that you will give this suggestion favorable consideration and give Congress when it convenes the benefit of such an independent Commission's recommendations for our future aircraft development.

The purpose of this letter was to suggest to the President a recent of learning from an experienced across the sea and needs of the country for aeronautical defense, instead of merely the needs of the Army, Navy and Post Office Departments.

At St. Louis, Asst. Secretary of War Davis stated that the Army in 1933 would have a shortage of 1400 airplanes at the present rate of expenditures and "what we are doing is literally starting to death the most vital branch of our national defense. If Congress does not make adequate appropriations in the next future, our air service will be rendered absolutely impotent. Our commercial aviation industry is also being starved to death."

General Patrick said "two and a half years from now, with the money I have in sight, I will have less than three hundred serviceable planes, just one-fifth of what I need for the small force we are allowed to maintain. A single aircraft (of which we have) is forty hours, can put on our shoes three and a half times as many planes as we have in the entire Army."

Admiral Moffett followed with the remark that the naval air force was just twice as badly off as the Army.

With such strong words from those responsible for the air defense of the country, immediate action is required of the President of the United States to have his place in the air. An independent civilian commission is suggested because the heads of Army and Navy aviation are limited in their capacity to the many congressional countries by the budget makers of the Army and Navy. Aviation with them is largely a matter of how much they are permitted to ask for. A civilian commission would consider aviation from the standpoint of the country's needs and not as a part of the Army, Navy and Post Office appropriations. President Coolidge needs advice from outside his official family on this all important national problem and the only way he will get it is from some such advisors.

The National Aeronautics Association, representing as it does every interest in American aviation, could render a great service to the country by submitting to the President or to a Commission appointed by him a continuing program for the accelerated development of not only the Army and Navy but the Post Office Department, civilian aviation, air routes, terminal landing fields, and perhaps the most important subject of all, some form of a national law for aeronautics such as the Windsor Bill.

Here is a real opportunity for the National Aeronautics Association to render a national service.

the relative air velocity is at a negative angle of 4.3 deg. to the wing chord. This should evidently produce a great downward acceleration and a large horizontal deceleration. There is no doubt that the proper thing for the pilot to do is to use his machine up in proportion to the steepness of the downward current, and detailed calculations are not required to demonstrate this.

Meeting a Head-on Gust

If the glider is flying on the normal attitude of minimum loss of altitude and meets a head-on gust, then if velocity relative to the earth is momentarily unchanged, it can be seen from Fig. 11 that the relative air velocity will be increased and the angle of incidence diminished. The velocities of nature will be the same as in equations (10) and (13).

Two effects will be produced, first, a deceleration in the horizontal direction, and second, an acceleration in the vertical direction. The problem of the pilot in taking advantage of this head-on wind is to gain as much altitude as possible while the glider is increasing the velocity of the head-on wind. The two equations of motion may be simplified as before by putting our $\theta = 1$ and neglecting the term $(h_1 + h_2)$ in so much as the product of relatively small numbers. The equations then become

$$(h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta = (h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta \quad (12)$$

$$h_1 \frac{dV}{dt} = W + \frac{W^2}{g} \quad (13)$$

To obtain a head-on gust it is not correct to say that maximum deceleration is required, or even that maximum ratio of $\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta / \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$ should be obtained. We can analyze the problem as follows—

Let Δt be a short interval of time for which V_1 and h_1 remain substantially constant, and let the horizontal velocity be diminished during this interval of time by an amount $\left(\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta\right) \Delta t$ where Δt is a large constant.

Then the gain in altitude during this interval of time is $\left(\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta\right) \Delta t$ and since $\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta$, we have

$$\Delta h = \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta \Delta t$$

The altitude gained will then be greatest when $\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta$ is a maximum, that is when

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$$

which is a maximum, that is when $\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$

in other words, when the ratio of upward acceleration to the reverse of the horizontal deceleration squared is

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$$

as great as is possible. And

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$$

a maximum when $(h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = (h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$

There is apparently no proved rule to obtain a maximum value for this expression, but we can take a converse note with the Hammer glider in its normal attitude of minimum loss of altitude, with $\theta = 3.3$ deg., $\gamma = 0$ deg. and the angle of wing to horizontal 3.3 deg., while $V = 28$ m./hr. Suppose the

glider suddenly meets a horizontal wind of 30 m./hr. Substituting different values of θ in the above ratio, we can find a maximum value for $\theta = 4$ deg. approximately, and once θ becomes 4.3 deg. the angle of wing to horizontal is 0 deg., the angle of incidence is 4.3 deg., and the angle of the glider to horizontal is 4.3 deg. If the velocity of the steady horizontal wind is assumed to be 20 m./hr., θ becomes 2.5 deg., and its maximum value of the above ratio occurs when $\theta = 2.5$ deg. approximately, and angle of wing should be to the horizontal 2.5 deg. — 2.5 deg. — 4.3 deg.

That is to say, when suddenly meeting a head-on wind, the pilot should use his machine down slightly to the horizontal a little more when the head-on wind is large. As the machine gradually decelerates relative to the earth and no velocity relative to the air diminishes, the pilot should rise the glider up to the original normal attitude.

If the head-on wind strikes the machine gradually, even if it ultimately grows to a large value, the relative air velocity V will always be only slightly greater than the original velocity V and only a slight amount down of the glider will be necessary, for while the horizontal head-on wind is growing, the glider is simultaneously decelerating relative to the earth.

Meeting a Rear-on Gust

A rear-on gust, as can be seen from Fig. 12, increases the angle of incidence, but not the velocity of the air relative to the glider, and also makes the path relative to the earth steep. The equations of motion are as before (9) and (10) but since θ is much larger we cannot always put $\cos \theta = 1$ and in this case we must consider $\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta$ and $\frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$ in this case a forward acceleration and a downward acceleration. The problem in this case is to have

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{dV}{dt} \cos \theta$$

a maximum or

$$h_1 \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta + (h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = W$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta = \frac{W}{h_1 + h_2} \quad (14)$$

Since no general rule is available to give this expression a maximum value, concrete cases must again be taken. If the average wind is 10 m./hr., θ becomes 5 deg. The steady expression is a maximum when $\theta = 5$ deg. and the angle with the horizontal is 5 deg. The glider's attitude to the horizontal on therefore remains unchanged.

If the average wind is 20 m./hr. making $\theta = 11.5$ deg., the expression is a maximum when θ is approximately 6 deg. and the angle with the horizontal is 6 deg. and the angle with the horizontal is 6 deg. The glider should be used down slightly considerably from its normal attitude.

Summary

Following is a summary of the gliding theory developed above.

Gliding in still air—(a) To cover the greatest horizontal distance, for a given loss of altitude, glide at best angle of glide, if the tendency for which $\frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta$ is maximum.

(b) To cover minimum loss of altitude, glide on such a path that for the corresponding angle of incidence $(h_1 + h_2) \frac{dV}{dt} \sin \theta$ is a maximum. The glide will be steeper and the angle of incidence greater than for best glide.

(c) To cover minimum horizontal speed, glide at angle of incidence approaching stallangle. To secure maximum horizontal speed, glide at an angle of 45 deg. to the horizontal.

Gliding with steady forward currents—(a) To glide with minimum drag current, altitude of wing chord to the earth should be the same as on the glide in still air with minimum loss of altitude.

(b) For best climb on a rising current, altitude of wing chord to horizontal should be the same as on the glide in still air with minimum loss of altitude.

(c) In gliding in a downward current of air, altitude of

wing chord to horizontal should be the same as on the glide in still air with minimum loss of altitude.

Gliding with steady forward currents—(a) With a mean wind, best glide will be on a steeper path to the horizontal and with a large angle of incidence to the relative air velocity. (b) For best glide in still air.

(c) With a head-on wind, best glide will be on a steeper path to the horizontal but with a smaller angle of incidence to the relative air velocity than for best glide in still air.

(d) Upstream loss of altitude will be independent of steady horizontal currents and correct altitude will be the same as in still air.

Down (revers) gusts—(a) When encountering an upgust, angle of incidence with the relative air velocity should approach stall angle. If the upgust is slight, altitude is increased but can be unchanged, or machine need up slightly. If meeting a violent upgust, machine should be moved down.

(b) When meeting a down-gust, move the machine down in proportion to the steepness of the down-gust.

(c) When meeting a head-on gust, nose down slightly. (d) When meeting a rear-on gust, nose down considerably. These rules are idealizations and the whole article is only an introduction to the study of the subject. Also the workings of air set motions are not yet fully developed. But the article may set ideas and suggest thinking.

New Arctic Flying Expedition

Brude J. Hammer of Seattle, the leader of the Hammer-Jackson expedition which last summer flew from Spitzbergen to the North Pole, the South Pole, recently arrived in New York. He stated that he had been in contact with the Junkers Airplane Co. of Germany with a view to flying tomorrow across and around the North Pole with three planes.

The Hammer-Jackson expedition went to Spitzbergen last June for the purpose of measuring Round America if it could be to arrive on schedule time at Spitzbergen after an all-out effort. The expedition consisted of Mr. Hammer, Arthur Norcross, pilot of the Junkers float plane which carried the party to Spitzbergen from Norway, and of Mr. Laue, technical adviser.

As it is no longer at Washington, the expedition planned to leave from New York by the ship "Albatross" and to have seen of his flight relayed by landline a few miles apart which Jackson was to light one after another after the expedition left. It is known to leave at Washington. The expedition was to leave from New York by the ship "Albatross" and to have seen of his flight relayed by landline a few miles apart which Jackson was to light one after another after the expedition left. It is known to leave at Washington.

It is in this connection, however, Mr. Hammer did much flying work at Washington, where he was making a discovery and found Spitzbergen where the machine was to be used. He found that the machine was to be used at Spitzbergen before. Very little of the kind of knowledge of Spitzbergen which has been known before.

Spitzbergen is 500 miles from the pole and North Alaska is 1,200 miles from the pole, but Hammer was eager to keep the machine from the pole and the pole was an important point of 50,000 square miles, which was a large area of land in land. This is the largest work on machine maps.

The machine was to be used at Spitzbergen, where it was to be used by Mr. Hammer with his first of three planes. The ship of the next expedition would be north of Spitzbergen.

"We will send a machine ship about 100 miles north of Spitzbergen, or about 500 miles from the pole," Mr. Hammer stated by the New York Herald. "The ice makes it impossible to send a ship further north. We would have to make a small pier on each side of the ship and to send a large number of ships in the completed area. We would not want to make a large body of land."

Hammer will lead the expedition, which his government is sponsoring. He is a member of the American Navy as an expedition. If he decides not to lead it, it will be a great loss to the expedition.

will do so. The Junkers Co. and one other are interested in making plans for the flight. These would carry large supplies of gasoline and oil, more than three tons each, the pilot, the navigator and the photographer.

These would be flying boats with six attachments for landing on snow. If the new polar island or continent is found, landings would be made at least every 200 miles, and the



F. A. P. from Arctic Expeditions, chief pilot of the Hammer-Jackson expedition which flew from 600 miles of the North Pole.

would involve eight or ten stops en route from the mother ship to Alaska.

Mr. Hammer said his air station at Spitzbergen had shown that the only safe guide for the air navigator is the sun, which shines twenty-four hours a day. The behavior of the sun was reportedly bad. The magnetic pole, as it is well known, does not correspond with the geographical pole and is at its fixed point, but fluctuates over a wide area. There were other conditions which made the magnetic needle erratic. The curvature of the earth changes even on a very short, making great distances between the poles and the magnetic pole, the flattened dome of the earth and wide magnetic field together caused the compass needle not only to dance madly westward but occasionally to perform complete revolutions.

Others, the German less make, has produced a motion picture camera, Mr. Hammer said, which would enable the camera to be used in the polar regions. The camera would be used to take in the air and the sun at the same time, and from the picture of the sun in the series of photographs the geographical position of the plane can be calculated.

Mr. Hammer had visited the Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department, and discussed with Admiral Moffett the proposed voyage of the R-11 to the North Pole.

Final Gordon Bennett Results

The official results of the Gordon Bennett balloon race were announced on Oct. 5 by the Aero Club of Belgium after President Jacobs had received cablegrams to the American, Swiss and Spanish clubs for the countries concerned during the race.

The list of the standing of the aeromans in the race was given as follows:

Georgies, Belgium, 1,155 km.
Vostok, Belgium, 1,085 km.
Astrakhan, Switzerland, 540 km.
Gordon, France, 500 km.
Bennett, France, 382 km.
Lawrence, United States Navy, 175 km.
President Jacobs, after the reading of the official standings, headed the American Club of Gordon Bennett Cup and a gold chronometer presented by King Albert of Belgium.

CURTISS WINS AGAIN



NAVY CURTISS RACER

On Sept. 28, 1923 at Cowes, England, the Navy Curtiss Seaplanes with Curtiss D-12 Motors took first and second place in the International Races, winning the SCHNEIDER CUP and establishing a NEW WORLD'S SPEED RECORD FOR SEAPLANES—177 MILES PLUS PER HOUR.

On Oct. 6, 1923 at St. Louis, U.S.A., the Navy Curtiss Racers with Curtiss D-12-A Motors took first and second place winning the PULITZER TROPHY for the third successive year and again establishing a NEW WORLD'S SPEED RECORD—243 MILES PLUS PER HOUR.

The Curtiss Reed one-piece duralumin propellers were used in all these ships as well as in the Curtiss Oriole when Casey Jones won the "On to St. Louis" Race.



STANDS FOR SPEED WITH SAFETY

CURTISS AEROPLANE & MOTOR COMPANY, Inc.

Garden City, N. Y.

Factories at Garden City, N. Y. — Buffalo, N. Y.

